Digital and Social Media

A brief primer for government affairs executives.

National Journal LEADERSHIP COUNCIL

National Journal LEADERSHIP COUNCIL

Research Staff

Project Director Alec Latimer

> **Researcher** Abigail Kleva

Executive Director Aaron Young

CONFIDENTIALITY AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

These materials have been prepared by National Journal for the exclusive and individual use of our Member organizations. As always, Members are welcome to an unlimited number of copies of the materials contained within this handout for use within their organization. However, these materials contain valuable confidential and proprietary information belonging to National Journal and they may not be shared with any third party (including independent contractors and consultants) without the prior approval of National Journal. National Journal retains any and all intellectual property rights in these materials and requires retention of the copyright mark on all pages reproduced.

LEGAL CAVEAT

National Journal has worked to ensure the accuracy of the information it provides to its Members. This report relies upon data obtained from many sources, however, and National Journal is not able to guarantee the accuracy of the information or analysis contained in these materials. Furthermore, National Journal is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or any other professional services. National Journal specifically disclaims liability for any damages, claims or losses that may arise from a) any errors or omissions in these materials, whether caused by National Journal or its sources, or b) reliance upon any recommendation made by National Journal.

Digital and Social Media

A brief primer for government affairs executives.

Contents

I. Influence in the digital age 4	I.	Influence	in the	digital a	ge 4	1
-----------------------------------	----	-----------	--------	-----------	------	---

II. 3 patterns of effective digital	
and social media use	– 9

I. Influence in the digital age

Defining our terms



But what's the difference between social and digital media?

Increasingly, not much.

The phrases "digital media" and "social media" are often used interchangeably now as social media has become the dominant force driving activity on the internet. Consider how you might see a *Wall Street Journal* article on Facebook (social media), but clicking it takes you to the *Journal's* website (digital media) where you can click a button to "like" the article back on your Facebook page (social media).

This primer will thus reference both digital media (e.g., Google searches, websites and microsites, digital video ads) and social media together, with little distinction.

Source: National Journal Leadership Council interviews and analysis.

Digital and social media have expanded our access to tools and avenues for influencing policymakers...

In the last two decades, opportunities for influence in Washington have proliferated. Digital and social media have greatly expanded our options for how we can share ideas, what platforms we can use, and who we can reach.



Common Influence Options

Source: National Journal Leadership Council interviews and analysis.

...but they've also empowered policymaking audiences, threatening our role in their work.

In 2017, Hill offices read policy newsletters over email, prepare for meetings with briefs in PDF, and catch up with the latest news and analysis by checking Twitter. Government affairs offices increasingly report that by the time they arrive for a meeting, Hill offices have already formed a framework and an opinion on an issue.

The Hill is doing its own issue research¹...

Seeking out industry perspectives online

77%

Consumed information from organizations' blogs and websites

Consuming news and analysis shared by peers online

60%

Accessing information on social media is an important part of my daily work

43%

Relying on information found on social media to help formulate their opinions.

Washington in the Information Age



Consumed an organization-produced

newsletter

For 15 years, National Journal's *Washington in the Information Age* research has explored the changing information consumption behaviors of DC influentials. The 2016 survey yielded feedback from over 1,000 senior policy professionals across Capitol Hill, the Executive Branch, and Private Sector. Topics covered in the annual study include: Who Washington Insiders Trust and Rely on Most, When and How Insiders Seek Information, and What Content and Media Brands Insiders Prefer.

1 All data from Capitol Hill survey respondents, n=122: Washington in the Information Age, National Journal, 2016.

The Hill also has more direct access to their constituents. They're gauging the opinions of Americans on social media with or without the help of advocacy organizations. And those evaluations are proving powerful enough to trigger a response and even changes some Members' positions.

...and independently taking the pulse of their constituents.



Members are developing their views on issues much closer to the way the general population does. By the time you get there for that 15-minute conversation, you might have missed the boat already."

SVP Government Affairs, Association

Source: National Journal Leadership Council interviews and analysis; Congressional Management Foundation.

66

A ripe opportunity? Or unnecessary risk?

Many government affairs offices have wisely approached digital and social media with caution. Online environments are more public and less controlled than a meeting on the Hill. But government affairs organizations of many stripes – corporations, associations, the risk-averse, the risk-takers – have found tactics within their comfort zone. Notably, "listening" tactics require no public exposure at all. Many organizations begin with these listening tactics and advance into more public-facing exploits as they became more comfortable with online spaces.



Examples of Government Affairs Digital and Social Media Tactics by Degree of Public Exposure

Public statements aren't a requirement

"Listening" tactics feature virtually no public exposure, focus only on analyzing the contents of social media.

II. 3 patterns of effective digital and social media use

National Journal Leadership Council research has revealed that leading organizations are using digital and social media for three purposes. They're gathering intelligence, growing mindshare in policy debates, and deploying an expanded network of supporters. The six pages that follow illustrate how these patterns of use unfold and are accompanied by brief case profiles.



Gathering new intelligence

- Head off hidden threatsChart new pathways to
- influence





Growing mindshare in policy debates

- Seed ideas into communities of influence
 Educate digital learners
- Strengthen policy brand reputation





Deploying an expanded network of supporters

- Channel pressure into public spaces
- Organize unknown allies to support your issue

Gathering new intelligence

Pattern of effective use #1



Head off hidden threats

Threats to policy agendas often show warning signs in public online spaces before boiling over into policy consequences.

Organizations are using social media to spot warning signs of threats and deploy responses before real problems have a chance to develop.

How it Works

Identify individuals online with the potential to impact policy

 e.g., an online influencer or individuals in a district of an important Member

 Set automated alerts for unusual activity levels on relevant issues

 e.g., brand mentions in Washington

 Address problems before they spread, using

Case Example

Geographic hotspots monitored for popular opposition



A Fortune-50 corporation tracked publicly available online conversations in regions of the country with significant proposed corporate investments. A social media specialist monitored these conversations for negative comments about the corporation and its issue-set. He was empowered to respond quickly and authorized to respond on behalf of the organization using a pre-approved response protocol and a flexible budget for small media buys.

online or offline responses



Reveal new pathways to influence

How it Works

- Map out online influencers' online relationships and interactions

 Who do they talk to?
 Who do they source?
 What do they talk about?
 - Who do they listen to?
- 2 Chart pathways for reaching target audience directly or indirectly

e.g., who does my target listen to online? Social media reveals our connections through who we talk to, what we talk about, and who we listen to online. These connections are often hidden or obscured in real life.

Organizations are analyzing these digital and social media "footprints" to reveal new important policy voices and discover novel ways of breaking through to influencers.

Case Example

Locating the obscure academic who had the ears of think tank leaders



An association revealed a previously unknown voice with outsized impact on industry influencers by reviewing their digital and social media footprints. The review mapped out each influencer's online connections and graded the strength of the connections based on information-sharing patterns. This process revealed that one individual in particular, the academic, was particularly influential with think tank leaders with whom the association had difficulty getting traction.

Growing mindshare in policy debates

Pattern of effective use #2



Educate digital learners

Washington audiences are answering their questions with online searches and consuming content shared with them on social media.

Leading organizations are distilling their insights and reformatting policy papers into digital-friendly formats to reach these digital learners.

How it Works

Case Example

Policy insights shared with Hill staff as "snackable" online content

A large trade association converted its white papers and policy experts' insights into much shorter digital deliverables – like articles, graphics, and "whiteboard videos" – that are shared via social media, weekly emails, and the association's website. Policy experts distill their insights into the core ideas, and a digital producer converts those ideas into accessible digital content.

Growing mindshare in policy debates (cont.)

Pattern of effective use #2



Case Example

Anointed policy experts enter online debates between influencers



A large trade association hand-picked a group of policy experts on staff to participate in niche policy debates online. Selected employees built their social media reputations over time with communities of online influencers on their policy issue. The association regularly trains these individuals and has granted them license to speak online on behalf of the organization, sharing their policy positions through a human voice.

Strengthen policy brand reputation

How it Works



Build an online "self-publishing" capability

e.g., a website for policy, a Twitter policy account, LinkedIn profiles for policy experts



Create editorial standards that reflect desired policy brand

Position branded content in front of Washington audiences

As policymaker offices increasingly use digital and social media to answer their policy questions, leading government affairs offices are leveraging the opportunity to establish or bolster their position as trustworthy policy resources. They are using online spaces to reinforce their brand of thought leadership with policymakers.

Case Example

A policy brand for public consumption

THINKPolicy

IBM created a new brand, THINKPolicy, to market its policy agenda in online spaces. IBM publishes brief, actionable policy positions to its website under the THINKPolicy brand. These position briefs are shared on Twitter and in personalized emails. The separate brand distinguishes its content from the cacophony in Washington, helps audiences draw connections between different pieces, and identifies the policy brand with the organization's unique history, culture, and values.

Deploying an expanded network

Pattern of effective use #3



The public nature of digital and social media has proven a powerful antidote to silence from a policymaker's office.

Leading organizations are channeling pressure online from advocates en-masse, influencers, and even policy experts and GA executives to stimulate policymakers into taking public stances on policy.



Case Example

"Twitter Cards": a public form letter that demands a public response



The American Medical Association empowered advocates to share customizable policy-focused graphics with Members of Congress on Twitter. Each Card featured an advocate's personal photo, his or her name, location, and a message from a curated list of options. Advocates provided their zip code, email address, and selective access to their Twitter account. In 2 months, advocates created and shared 27,000 cards on Twitter.

Source: National Journal Leadership Council interviews and analysis; American Medical Association.



Organize unknown allies to support your issues

How it Works

Identify characteristics of likely issue-supporters

- What organizations would they 'like'?
- Where would they live?
- What are their demographics?
- What are their demonstrated interests?
- What actions might they take online?
- What actions might they take omme:

Digital and social media reveal a new world of potential advocacy participants – allies and advocates alike.

Organizations are leveraging the sophisticated ad targeting tools offered by social media platforms to find and engage these individuals.



1

Recruit supporters using social media targeting capabilities

Case Example

Just-in-time advocate recruitment and activation



In the week before a key vote, an association coordinated over 1,000 previously unknown advocates in the same district to contact their congressperson. The digital director recruited these advocates to a Facebook page using ads directed at likely supporters. Ads were targeted with criteria such as geography, interests, and behaviors. Once on the page, the advocates formed their own community and largely kept one another engaged. Cost amounted to less than \$500.

Take the next step with National Journal Leadership Council.

Consult with an Expert

Leadership Council experts will come to your office to help you diagnose challenges, share insights and tools on best practice in Washington, or simply to serve as a sounding board–all as part of your membership. To have an expert join you in your office, contact your Dedicated Advisor or our service inboxes below:



Save Time and Be More Effective with Tools and Case Studies



Ready your government affairs office for social media attacks.



Enlist advocates to share you perspectives online.

To access these tools, case studies, and more, visit www.nationaljournal.com/

Discuss with Your Peers at an Event Facilitated by an Expert

ROUNDTABLES



Social Media Basics for Advocacy Professionals **MEMBERSHIP@LUNCH**



Using Digital Media to Boost Grassroots Activity WEBINARS



Social Media in Advocacy Parts I, II, III

To learn more and register for an event, visit <u>www.nationaljournal.com/events</u>